

# TRAVELING IS A RIGHT NOT A PRIVILEGE!

“Government issued identification, such as the “driver’s license” or “passport” cannot be “required” in order to travel about America or to leave the country, as we have been led to believe. This is true whether we travel by foot, bicycle, automobile, bus, train or airplane. Such a “requirement” is contrary to one’s Right to Life, Liberty, and pursuit of Happiness (or property).

*“The rule is firmly established that the right of the citizen of one state to pass into any other state of the Union...without molestation [restriction], is secured and protected by the United States Constitution.”* **16a Am Jur 2d §60** pg. 550 6. FREEDOM TO TRAVEL.

*“All citizens of the United States of America have a right to pass and repass through every part of it without interruption, as freely as in their own state.”* **Smith v. Turner** 48 U.S. 283, 12 L Ed 702. It was also reaffirmed in **Crandall v. Nevada** 74 U.S. 35, 18 L Ed 745, that this ruling is consistent with the inferences drawn from the Constitution.

**Shapiro v. Thompson**, 394 U.S. 618, 22 L Ed 2d 600, 89 S Ct. 1322, stated that the nature of our Federal Union and our constitutional concepts of personal liberty united to require that all citizens be free to travel through the length and breadth of our land uninhibited by statutes, rules or regulation which unreasonably burden or restrict this movement.

*One may refuse to provide the police identification or information.* **U.S. v. Brown**, 731,F2d 1491 (1984); **Moya v. U.S.**, 761 F2d 322 (1985); **Brown v. Texas**, 443 US 47 (1979).

*No state shall convert a liberty into a privilege and issue licenses and fees to it.* **Murdock v. Pennsylvania**, 319 U.S. 105.

*If the state does convert a liberty into a privilege then the citizen can engage in the right with impunity (they can’t punish you).* **Shuttlesworth v. Birmingham**, 373 U.S. 262.

*The State cannot divest or impair vested rights, whether by statute, ordinance, or constitutional amendment.* **Favot v. Kingsbury** (App. 3 Dist. 1929) 98 Cal.App. 284, 276 P. 1083.

*Where rights secured by the constitution are involved, there can be no rule making or legislation which would abrogate [abolish] them.* **Miranda v. Arizona**, 384 U.S. 436, 491.

*“The term [liberty]...denotes not merely freedom from bodily restraint but also the right of the individual to contract, to engage in any of the common occupations of life, to acquire useful knowledge, to marry, to establish a home and bring up children, to worship God according to the dictates of his own conscience... The established doctrine is that this liberty may not be interfered with, under the guise of protecting public interest, by legislative action.”* **Meyer v. Nebraska**, 262 U.S. 390, 399, 400.

*“The right of the citizen to travel upon the public highways and to transport his property thereon either by carriage or by automobile, is not a mere privilege, which a city may prohibit or permit at will, but a common right which he has under the right to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness.”* **Thompson v. Smith** 154 SE 579.